


# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of Virginia



United States of America

v.

Bradford Jermaine Hancock

Date of Previous Judgment: September 4, 2007

(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable)

Case No: 7:06CR00104-001

USM No: 12474-084

Defendant's Attorney

## Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)

Upon motion of ☐ the defendant ☐ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons ☒ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion,

**IT IS ORDERED** that the motion is:

☐ DENIED. ☒ GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of 144 months is reduced to 130 months\*.

### I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE (Prior to Any Departures)

Previous Offense Level: 25

Amended Offense Level: 23

Criminal History Category: IV

Criminal History Category: IV

Previous Guideline Range: 84 to 105 months

Amended Guideline Range: 70 to 87 months

### II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE

☒ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.

☐ The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.

☒ Other (explain):

\*This sentence consists of 70 months as to each of Counts One, Two, Four, and Five, with these sentences to run concurrently to each other, and 60 months as to Count Three, to run consecutive to the sentences on the other counts.

### III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

The factors listed in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) having been considered, the government's objections to the reduction are overruled.

Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated 09/04/2007 shall remain in effect.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Order Date: March 26, 2008

James C. Turk  
Judge's signature

Effective Date: \_\_\_\_\_  
(if different from order date)

James C. Turk, Senior United States District Judge  
Printed name and title